

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/919,283	GALBI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Robert C. Scheibel	2616	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:10 PM EDT

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

11, 13, 15, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C. Shalhoub 4-13-06
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed that the entire preamble need not be given weight based on the present language for claim 11. Examiner suggested adding the subject matter of claims 13 and 15 to claim 11 in order to require that weight be given to the entire preamble. Applicant agreed. Examiner also requested a minor typographical change to claim 16; Applicant agreed to the changes as specified in the attached Examiner's Amendment..